

California Child Welfare Services
Outcome & Accountability County Data Report
(Child Welfare Supervised Caseload)
San Diego
April 2005

Quarterly Outcome and Accountability County Data Reports published by the California Department of Social Services (CDSS) provide summary level Federal and State program measures that will serve as the basis for the county self-assessment reviews and be used to track State and county performance over time. It is important that counties not draw comparisons to performance in other counties or even the State as a whole due to the differences in demographics, resources, and practice. The intent of the new system is for each county, through their self-assessment review based on their data, to determine the reasons for their current level of performance and to develop a plan for measurable improvement.

Assembly Bill (AB) 636 requires a series of measures that provide indicators of key program outcomes, processes, and receipt of critical services. The outcome measures are also, at a minimum, consistent with those outcomes of the federal Child and Family Services Review in that the federal indicators are a subset of the State's indicators under this new system. Under the new Outcomes and Accountability System it is expected that the state will not only improve its performance on the federal indicators but on an even broader set of state enhanced indicators. The data in this report focuses on critical safety, stability, family, and well-being measures that are currently available, and that are provided to counties for on-going assessment of their programs' performance. **New data are added and some old data have been updated in this report. The data in this report reflect the Original outcomes for data available through October 1, 2004. We have also included Updated (refreshed) data for some quarters, run on data from more recent extracts than those that were originally posted. Differences between the Original and Updated values for these measures are probably due to data cleanup efforts in some counties. Uniform Resource Locators (URLs) included in this document direct the viewer to summary data across counties and breakouts by age, race, gender, and over time, including refreshed data for time periods earlier than those included in this report for all UCB developed measures.** This Outcome and Accountability County Data Report will provide the state with a county-by-county detailed description of each element that comprises the service delivery system.

The data source for these reports is the Child Welfare Services/Case Management System (CWS/CMS), which became fully operational in all 58 counties on December 31, 1997. Counties are responsible for inputting data on CWS/CMS as part of their process to manage their caseloads of children and families who receive child welfare services. The accuracy of the information derived from CWS/CMS is continuously improving. As with any large automation system it provides a broad range of challenges and benefits as it continues to undergo improvements to keep abreast of the changing child welfare system.

Comparison of data across counties should be done with caution. First, counties may have different data management practices. Though data are recorded on one statewide database system (CWS/CMS), differences in data entry and update may influence outcome measures reported here. Second, the social and economic contexts within which child welfare services are provided vary widely among the fifty-eight counties of California.

In this report data measures have been grouped into the four general categories of information: Child Welfare Services Participation Rates; Safety Outcomes; Permanency Outcomes; and Child and Family Well-Being Outcomes. The data for these categories are presented as follows:

CHILD WELFARE SERVICES PARTICIPATION RATES

This section provides data on the number, and number per 1,000 children in the county/state, for key child welfare indicators. It is intended as background information to assist your county in analyzing your county's performance by the outcome indicators. This section was developed by the University of California, Berkeley (UCB).

Number of children < 18 in population

Population projections from California Department of Finance (based on the 2000 U.S. Census).

Year	Number
2003	722,687
2002	727,503

Number and rate of children with referrals

Unduplicated count of child clients < age 18 in referrals during the indicated year, per 1,000 children < age 18 in population.

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Referrals/rates.asp#countyrates>

Year	Number	Rate	
		Original	Updated
2003	50,300	69.6 per 1,000	69.6 per 1,000
2002	51,876	71.3 per 1,000	71.3 per 1,000

Number and rate of children with substantiated referrals

Unduplicated count of child clients < age 18 in referrals during the indicated year that had substantiated allegations, per 1,000 children < age 18 in population.

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Referrals/rates.asp#countyrate>

Year	Number	Rate	
		Original	Updated
2003	10,472	14.4 per 1,000	14.5 per 1,000
2002	11,086	15.2 per 1,000	15.2 per 1,000

Number and rate of first entries

Unduplicated count of children < age 18 entering a child welfare supervised placement episode of at least five days duration for the first time during the indicated year, per 1,000 children < age 18 in population.

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Cohorts/firstentries/Rates.asp>

Year	Number	Rate	
		Original	Updated
2003	2,088	2.9 per 1,000	2.9 per 1,000
2002	2,062	2.8 per 1,000	2.8 per 1,000

Number and rate of children in care

Number of children < age 19 in child welfare supervised foster care on the indicated date, per 1,000 children < age 19 in population.

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Pointintime/fostercare/childwel/prevalence.asp>

Date	Number	Rate	
		Original	Updated
Jul 1, 2004	6,039	7.9 per 1,000	--
Jul 1, 2003	6,210	8.2 per 1,000	8.1 per 1,000

SAFETY OUTCOMES

These measures are designed to reflect the effectiveness of efforts to protect children from abuse/neglect by reporting instances of abuse and neglect at various stages of child welfare services and process measures which reflect the frequency of social worker contact with children and the speed of face-to-face investigation of abuse/neglect allegations.

Recurrence of Maltreatment (1A and 1B)

This measure reflects the percent of children who were victims of child abuse/neglect with a subsequent substantiated report of abuse/neglect within specific time periods. It is both a state and federal outcome measure. This measure was developed by UCB.

Federal: Of all children with a substantiated allegation within the first six months of the 12-month study period, what percent had another substantiated allegation within six months? (limited to dispositions within the study year, according to federal guidelines).

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_recurrence.asp

1A. Percent recurrence of maltreatment (Fed)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
10/01/03-09/30/04	9.6%	--
07/01/03-06/30/04	8.9%	--
04/01/03-03/31/04	7.4%	7.3%
01/01/03-12/31/03	8.4%	8.4%
10/01/02-09/30/03	9.5%	9.4%
07/01/02-06/30/03	10.0%	9.9%

State: Of all children with a substantiated referral during the 12-month study period, what percent had a subsequent substantiated referral within 12 months?

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Referrals/recurrence.asp>

1B. Percent recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
10/01/02-09/30/03	12.2%	--
07/01/02-06/30/03	12.6%	--
04/01/02-03/31/03	12.5%	12.5%
01/01/02-12/31/02	12.3%	12.3%
10/01/01-09/30/02	12.1%	12.1%
07/01/01-06/30/02	12.2%	12.2%

State: Of all children with a **first** substantiated referral during the 12-month study period, what percent had a subsequent substantiated referral within 12 months?

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Referrals/recurrence.asp>

1B. Percent recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months after first substantiated allegation		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
10/01/02-09/30/03	10.6%	--
07/01/02-06/30/03	10.8%	--
04/01/02-03/31/03	10.6%	10.6%
01/01/02-12/31/02	10.7%	10.7%
10/01/01-09/30/02	10.8%	10.8%
07/01/01-06/30/02	10.9%	10.9%

Rate of Child Abuse and/or Neglect in Foster Care (1C)

This measure reflects the percent of children in foster care who are abused or neglected while in foster care placement (currently limited due to data constraints to children in foster or FFA homes). This data was developed by UCB. It is a federal outcome measure.

For all children in county supervised or Foster Family Agency child welfare supervised foster care during the nine-month review period (timeframe established according to federal guidelines), what percent had a substantiated allegation by a foster parent during that time?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_abuse.asp

1C. Percent rate of child abuse and/or neglect in foster care (Fed)		
Nine-month review period	Original	Updated
01/01/04-09/30/04	0.77%	--
10/01/03-06/30/04	0.76%	--
07/01/03-03/31/04	1.16%	1.05%
04/01/03-12/31/03	1.54%	1.29%
01/01/03-09/30/03	1.52%	1.31%
10/01/02-06/30/03	1.18%	1.11%

Rate of Recurrence of Abuse and/or Neglect in Homes Where Children Were Not Removed (2A)

This measure reflects the occurrence of abuse and/or neglect of children who remain in their own homes. This data was developed by CDSS. It is a state outcome measure.

Of all the children with allegation (inconclusive or substantiated) during the 12-month study period who were not removed, what percent had a subsequent substantiated allegation within 12 months?

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Ccfsr.asp#2A>

2A. Percent rate of recurrence of abuse/neglect in homes where children were not removed		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
10/01/02-09/30/03	7.6%	--
07/01/02-06/30/03	7.8%	7.8%
04/01/02-03/31/03	7.8%	7.8%
01/01/02-12/31/02	7.9%	7.9%
10/01/01-09/30/02	8.1%	8.1%
07/01/01-06/30/02	8.6%	--

Child Abuse/Neglect Referrals with a Timely Response (2B)

This is a process measure designed to determine the percent of cases in which face-to-face contact with a child occurs, or is attempted, within the regulatory time frames in those situations in which a determination is made that the abuse or neglect allegations indicate significant danger to the child. This data was developed by CDSS. It is a state process measure.

Percent of child abuse and neglect referrals in the study quarter that have resulted in an in-person investigation stratified by immediate response and ten-day referrals, for both planned and actual visits.

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Ccfsr.asp#2B>

2B. Percent of child abuse/neglect referrals with a timely response				
	Immediate Response Compliance		10-Day Response Compliance	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
Q3 2004	97.3%	--	97.6%	--
Q2 2004	98.2%	98.1%	96.7%	96.7%
Q1 2004	98.0%	98.0%	97.7%	97.6%
Q4 2003	95.6%	95.6%	96.0%	96.0%
Q3 2003	97.7%	97.7%	97.0%	97.0%
Q2 2003	97.3%	--	95.8%	--

Timely Social Worker Visits With Child (2C)

This is a process measure designed to determine if social workers are seeing the children on a monthly basis when that is required. Children for whom a determination is made that monthly visits are not necessary (e.g. valid visit exception) are not included in this measure. This data was developed by CDSS. It is a state process measure. This report is based on CWS/CMS only. (Other data analysis measurements such as the SafeMeasures application may provide different results.)

Of all children who required a monthly social worker visit, how many received a monthly visit?

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Ccfsr.asp#2C>

2C. Percent of timely social worker visits with child						
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
Q3 2004	Jul 2004		Aug 2004		Sep 2004	
	93.7%	--	93.4%	--	93.8%	--
Q2 2004	Apr 2004		May 2004		June 2004	
	93.6%	93.5%	94.2%	93.9%	93.8%	93.8%
Q1 2004	Jan 2004		Feb 2004		Mar 2004	
	90.7%	90.7%	91.1%	91.2%	91.8%	91.8%
Q4 2003	Oct 2003		Nov 2003		Dec 2003	
	89.0%	89.1%	89.7%	89.8%	89.8%	89.8%
Q3 2003	Jul 2003		Aug 2003		Sep 2003	
	90.3%	--	90.3%	--	90.6%	--
Q2 2003	Apr 2003		May 2003		Jun 2003	
	90.7%	--	91.0%	--	91.2%	--

PERMANENCY OUTCOMES

These measures are designed to reflect the number of foster care placements for each child, the length of time a child is in foster care, and the rate that children re-enter foster care after they have returned home or other permanent care arrangements have been made.

Length of Time to Exit Foster Care to Reunification (3E and 3A)

This is an outcome measure reflecting the percent of children reunified within 12 months of removal of a child from the home. The data was developed by UCB. It is a federal and state outcome measure.

Federal: Of all children who were reunified from child welfare supervised foster care during the 12-month study period, what percent had been in care for less than 12 months?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_standardsForm.asp

3E. Percent reunified within 12 months (Fed)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated*
10/01/03-09/30/04	68.2%	--
07/01/03-06/30/04	68.5%	69.1%
04/01/03-03/31/04	66.6%	66.8%
01/01/03-12/31/03	66.0%	66.1%
10/01/02-09/30/03	65.8%	65.8%
07/01/02-06/30/03	65.5%	65.5%

*All values updated (using Quarter 2 2004 data) due to data correction.

State: For all children who entered foster care for the first time (and stayed at least five days) during the 12-month study period, what percent were reunified within 12 months?

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Cohorts/exits/>

3A. Percent reunified within 12 months (entry cohort)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
10/01/02-09/30/03	33.8%	--
07/01/02-06/30/03	34.9%	--
04/01/02-03/31/03	34.8%	35.1%
01/01/02-12/31/02	33.7%	34.0%
10/01/01-09/30/02	36.0%	35.8%
07/01/01-06/30/02	35.1%	34.8%

Length of Time to Exit Foster Care to Adoption (3D and 3A)

This is an outcome measure reflecting the percent of children adopted within 24 months of removal of a child from the home. The data was developed by UCB. It is a federal and state outcome measure.

Federal: Of all children who were adopted from child welfare supervised foster care during the 12-month study period, what percent had been in care for less than 24 months?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_standardsForm.asp

3D. Percent adopted within 24 months (Fed)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated*
10/01/03-09/30/04	20.7%	--
07/01/03-06/30/04	21.8%	21.7%
04/01/03-03/31/04	21.5%	21.1%
01/01/03-12/31/03	21.4%	21.2%
10/01/02-09/30/03	21.8%	21.8%
07/01/02-06/30/03	21.0%	21.2%

*All values updated (using Quarter 2 2004 data) due to data correction.

State: For all children who entered child welfare supervised foster care for the first time (and stayed at least five days) during the 12-month study period, what percent were adopted within 24 months?

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Cohorts/exits/>

3A. Percent adopted within 24 months (entry cohort)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
10/01/01-09/30/02	5.6%	--
07/01/01-06/30/02	5.0%	--
04/01/01-03/31/02	5.5%	5.6%
01/01/01-12/31/01	5.5%	5.6%
10/01/00-09/30/01	5.4%	5.5%
07/01/00-06/30/01	5.8%	5.8%

Multiple Foster Care Placements (3B and 3C)

These measures reflect the number of children with multiple placements within 12 months of placement. This data was developed by UCB. It is a federal and state outcome measure.

Federal: For all children in child welfare supervised foster care for less than 12 months during the 12-month study period, what percent had no more than two placements?

(Note: The methodology for this indicator has been revised)

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_standardsForm.asp

3B. Percent with 1-2 placements within 12 months (Fed)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
10/01/03-09/30/04	79.8%	--
07/01/03-06/30/04	78.2%	--
04/01/03-03/31/04	80.5%	79.7%
01/01/03-12/31/03	79.7%	78.9%
10/01/02-09/30/03	79.7%	79.4%
07/01/02-06/30/03	80.3%	79.5%

State: For all children who entered child welfare supervised foster care for the first time (and stayed at least five days) during the 12-month study period, and were in care for 12 months, what percent had no more than two placements?

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cohorts/stability/>

3C. Percent with 1-2 placements – if still in care at 12 months (entry cohort)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
10/01/02-09/30/03	55.9%	--
07/01/02-06/30/03	55.0%	--
04/01/02-03/31/03	52.2%	52.1%
01/01/02-12/31/02	52.1%	51.9%
10/01/01-09/30/02	52.2%	52.3%
07/01/01-06/30/02	52.2%	52.3%

Rate of Foster Care Re-Entry (3F and 3G)

This measure reflects the number of children who re-enter foster care subsequent to reunification or guardianship. The data was developed by UCB. It is a federal and state outcome measure.

Federal: For all children who entered child welfare supervised foster care during the 12-month study period, what percent were subsequent entries within 12 months of a prior exit?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_standardsForm.asp

3F. Percent of admissions who are re-entries (Fed)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
10/01/03-09/30/04	9.7%	--
07/01/03-06/30/04	9.7%	--
04/01/03-03/31/04	9.9%	9.9%
01/01/03-12/31/03	9.2%	9.4%
10/01/02-09/30/03	9.7%	9.7%
07/01/02-06/30/03	9.4%	9.7%

State: For all children who entered child welfare supervised foster care for the first time (and stayed at least five days) during the 12-month study period and were reunified within 12 months of entry, what percent re-entered foster care within 12 months of reunification?

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Cohorts/reentries/>

3G. Percent who re-entered within 12 months of reunification (entry cohort reunified within 12 months)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
10/01/01-09/30/02	13.5%	--
07/01/01-06/30/02	13.3%	--
04/01/01-03/31/02	12.1%	12.0%
01/01/01-12/31/01	10.6%	10.5%
10/01/00-09/30/01	8.6%	8.6%
07/01/00-06/30/01	8.1%	8.1%

CHILD & FAMILY WELL-BEING OUTCOMES

These measures are designed to reflect the degree to which children in foster care retain relationships with the family and extended communities with whom they are associated at the time of their removal from their parents.

Siblings Placed Together in Foster Care (4A)

These measures reflect the number of children placed with all or some of their siblings in foster care. The data was developed by UCB. It is a state outcome measure.

For all children in child welfare supervised foster care on the point-in-time, of those with siblings in care, what percent were placed with some and/or all of their siblings?

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/pointintime/fostercare/childwel/siblings.asp>

4A. Percent of children in foster care that are placed with ALL siblings		
Point-in-time	Original	Updated*
Oct 1, 2004	40.1%	--
Jul 1, 2004	41.8%	39.9%
Apr 1, 2004	41.9%	40.0%
Jan 1, 2004	42.6%	40.4%
Oct 1, 2003	43.0%	40.7%
Jul 1, 2003	42.8%	40.9%

*All values updated (using Quarter 3 2004 data) due to adjustment to methodology.

4A. Percent of children in foster care that are placed with SOME or ALL siblings		
Point-in-time	Original	Updated*
Oct 1, 2004	61.6%	--
Jul 1, 2004	63.5%	61.7%
Apr 1, 2004	64.4%	62.7%
Jan 1, 2004	65.0%	63.1%
Oct 1, 2003	65.4%	63.5%
Jul 1, 2003	65.0%	63.4%

*All values updated (using Quarter 3 2004 data) due to adjustment to methodology.

Foster Care Placement in Least Restrictive Settings (4B)

This measure reflects the percent of children placed in each type of foster care setting. The data was developed by UCB. It is a state outcome measure.

For all children who entered child welfare supervised foster care for the first time (and stayed at least five days) during the 12-month study period, what percent were in kin, foster, FFA, group, and other placements (first placement type, predominant placement type)? What percent of children in child welfare supervised foster care were in kin, foster, FFA, group, and other placements at the specified point in time?

URL: (entry cohort) <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cohorts/firstentries/>

URL: (point in time) <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/pointintime/fostercare/childwel/ageandethnic.asp>

	Initial Placement	Primary Placement	Point in Time (PIT) Placement
	10/01/03-09/30/04	10/01/03-09/30/04	Oct 1, 2004
	Original	Original	Original
4B. Relative	10.4%	41.1%	36.7%
4B. Foster Home	41.6%	40.3%	27.1%
4B. FFA	4.1%	3.4%	5.1%
4B. Group/Shelter	42.6%	11.8%	14.0%
4B. Other	1.3%	3.4%	17.1%

	Initial Placement	Primary Placement	Point in Time (PIT) Placement
	07/01/03-06/30/04	07/01/03-06/30/04	Jul 1, 2004
	Original	Original	Original
4B. Relative	11.5%	39.5%	36.1%
4B. Foster Home	39.6%	41.2%	27.9%
4B. FFA	4.4%	3.2%	4.9%
4B. Group/Shelter	42.7%	12.9%	13.7%
4B. Other	1.8%	3.2%	17.3%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	04/01/03-03/31/04		04/01/03-03/31/04		Apr 1, 2004	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	12.1%	12.2%	40.3%	41.5%	35.8%	35.5%
4B. Foster Home	39.8%	39.5%	40.5%	40.3%	27.7%	27.5%
4B. FFA	3.7%	3.8%	2.9%	2.7%	5.0%	5.0%
4B. Group/Shelter	42.4%	42.4%	12.4%	11.8%	13.9%	13.9%
4B. Other	2.0%	2.1%	4.0%	3.7%	17.6%	18.0%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	01/01/03-12/31/03		01/01/03-12/31/03		Jan 1, 2004	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	11.7%	11.8%	40.3%	41.7%	36.9%	36.1%
4B. Foster Home	38.3%	38.1%	41.3%	39.9%	27.3%	27.0%
4B. FFA	2.8%	3.1%	1.9%	2.3%	4.9%	4.9%
4B. Group/Shelter	45.0%	44.7%	12.7%	12.1%	13.0%	13.1%
4B. Other	2.3%	2.4%	3.8%	4.1%	17.8%	18.9%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	10/01/02-09/30/03		10/01/02-09/30/03		Oct 1, 2003	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	10.4%	10.3%	38.3%	40.1%	36.3%	35.3%
4B. Foster Home	36.2%	36.2%	41.6%	40.4%	27.8%	27.1%
4B. FFA	2.8%	3.1%	2.1%	2.2%	5.0%	5.1%
4B. Group/Shelter	47.7%	47.2%	13.1%	12.4%	13.3%	13.2%
4B. Other	2.9%	3.2%	4.9%	5.0%	17.6%	19.2%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	07/01/02-06/30/03		07/01/02-06/30/03		Jul 1, 2003	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	9.7%	9.8%	38.0%	40.0%	37.0%	35.3%
4B. Foster Home	35.7%	36.1%	40.0%	39.3%	27.7%	27.0%
4B. FFA	3.0%	3.1%	2.3%	2.4%	5.4%	5.5%
4B. Group/Shelter	48.1%	47.4%	14.4%	12.9%	12.8%	12.7%
4B. Other	3.5%	3.6%	5.2%	5.4%	17.1%	19.6%

****Rate of ICWA Placement Preferences (4E)**

4E (1) Of those children identified as American Indian, what percent were placed with relatives, non-relative Indian families and non-relative non-Indian families? This data was developed by CDSS. It is a state outcome measure.

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Ccfsr.asp#4E>

Q3 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	42.6%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	1.9%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	38.9%

Q2 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	39.8%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	2.2%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	45.2%

Q1 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	50.4%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	0.0%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	19.8%

Q4 2003	Original
4E. Relative Home	49.5%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	0.0%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	17.5%

Q3 2003	Original
4E. Relative Home	48.1%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	0.0%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	19.4%

Q2 2003	Original
4E. Relative Home	55.8%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	0.8%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	18.3%

4E (2) This measure reflects the percent of Indian Child Welfare Act eligible children placed in foster care settings defined by the ICWA. This data was developed by CDSS. It is a state outcome measure.

URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Ccfsr.asp#4E>

Q3 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	38.4%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	2.1%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	44.7%

Q2 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	45.9%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	3.1%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	40.8%

Q1 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	57.3%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	0.0%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	17.1%

****Measure 4E(2)** was recently developed to reflect percent of ICWA eligible placement types. For county information only. CDSS does not update these measures due to recent methodology changes, which render comparisons between current and previous data meaningless.

Children Transitioning to Self-Sufficient Adulthood (8A)

These measures are designed to reflect the degree to which children and families receiving child welfare services are receiving the services necessary to provide for their care and developmental needs.

This measure reflects the percent of foster children eligible for Independent Living Services who receive appropriate educational and training, and/or achieve employment or economic self-sufficiency. The data was collected by CDSS. This measure includes data regarding youths, ages 16 through 20, who receive services from the Independent Living Foster Care Program. It identifies the number of youths receiving Independent Living Program services, the program outcomes for those youths, and certain client characteristics. This report is limited to a subset population obtained from State of California form 405A. It is a state outcome measure.

This data is based on hard copy reports submitted by counties to the CDSS for the time period covered by the report. These numbers are updated once per year.

URL: http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/SOC405A-In_415.htm

Number of Children Transitioning to Self-Sufficient Adulthood with:

10/01/03-9/30/04	Original
8A. High School Diploma	158
8A. Enrolled in College/Higher Education	98
8A. Received ILP Services	1,427
8A. Completed Vocational Training	19
8A. Employed or other means of support	195

10/01/02-9/30/03	Original
8A. High School Diploma	163
8A. Enrolled in College/Higher Education	229
8A. Received ILP Services	2,092
8A. Completed Vocational Training	34
8A. Employed or other means of support	254

10/01/01-09/30/02	Original
8A. High School Diploma	90
8A. Enrolled in College/Higher Education	118
8A. Received ILP Services	1,468
8A. Completed Vocational Training	19
8A. Employed or other means of support	307